



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,127	03/31/2004	Hyun Sook Kim	1594.1348	3721
21171	7590	02/12/2008		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER WALDBAUM, SAMUEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/813,127

Applicant(s)

KIM ET AL.

Examiner

SAMUEL A. WALDBAUM

Art Unit

1792

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 January 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-12,15 and 17-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-11 and 22-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-7,12,15,17-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The applicant filed a reply on January 15, 2008 amending claims 1, 12, 20 and 21 and cancelled claims 4 and 16. The previous rejection is hereby withdrawn in favor of a new rejection found below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Euler et al (U.S. 5,191,669, hereafter '669).

3. Claim 1: '669 teaches a drum washing machine, comprising:

a water tub including a lower portion (part 34, col. 3, lines 5 – 15);

a rotary tub rotatably provided in the water tub (part 35, col. 3, lines 5 – 15); and

a detergent dissolving unit to dissolve a detergent, initially contained in the lower portion of the water tub in water initially contained in the water tub, outside of the water tub and provided with a first end, which is connected to an inside of the water tub (fig. 3, part 80, col. 3 lines 30 – 45).

'669 teaches that the detergent dissolving unit comprises:

a detergent dissolution pipe having a first end connected with the inside of the water tub and a second end extended outside of the water tub (fig. 3, parts 82, 84 and the piping that extends from the nozzle, part 51, to the piping part 84); and

a pump to pump the water and the detergent contained in the lower portion of the water tub to the detergent dissolution pipe (fig. 3, part 38, col. 3, lines 30 – 45) wherein the detergent dissolution pipe is between the tub and the pump (fig. 3, the first end, part 51, is connected with the inside of the tub and parts 84 and 82 is between the pump and the tub, the detergent dissolution pipe has a branch off from the main pipe by valve 170, into the dissolution space, part 80, which has two pipes going into to therefore it has to have a greater diameter than one pipe by itself).

'669 teaches that the detergent pipe has a variable diameter creating a detergent dissolution space (fig. 2 and 3, shows that the pipe enters in to space, part 80, which by the figures it shown to have a variable diameter by the narrow piping to into the larger space with a narrow piping leaving the larger space).

4. Claims 3: '669 teaches that the detergent dissolving unit comprises a control unit to operate the pump according to preset ON/OFF periods to reciprocate the water and the detergent contained in the lower portion of the water tub between the water tub and the detergent dissolution pipe (col. 5, lines 15 – 30).

5. Claims 5: '669 teaches that the first end of the detergent dissolution pipe is provided at an inlet of the rotary tub (fig 3, part 84, col. 5, lines 15 – 65).

6. Claims 6: '669 teaches that the detergent dissolving unit further comprises a spray nozzle provided at the first end of the detergent dissolution pipe (fig. 3, part 51).

7. Claims 7: '669 teaches that the detergent dissolving unit further comprises a valve mounted at the detergent dissolution pipe to selectively open and close a flow passage (fig. 3, part 128, col. 6, lines 19 – 34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 12-15 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Euler et al (U.S. 5,191,669, hereafter '669) in further in view of Pellerin (U.S. RE36,688, hereafter '688).

10. Claims 12, 20 and 21: '669 teaches a drum washing machine, comprising:

a cylindrical rotary tub (part 35, col. 3, lines 5 – 15); rotatably provided in the water tub and opened at a first end corresponding with the first end of the cylindrical water tub (fig. 3 where the front opens for the insertion of the laundry); and

a detergent dissolving unit provided outside of the water tub, to partially dissolve or increase solubility or to dissolve the detergent in the water outside of the water tub (col. 5, lines, 15 – 65, by recirculating the concentration, more detergent is dissolve through agitation or through the use of a heater, part 400), and having a first end connected to an inside of the water tub (fig. 3, part 80, col. 3 lines 30 – 45).

a detergent dissolution pipe having a first end connected with the inside of the water tub and a second end extended outside of the water tub (fig. 3, parts 82, 84 and the piping that extends from the nozzle, part 51, to the piping part 84); and

a pump to pump the water and the detergent contained in the lower portion of the water tub to the detergent dissolution pipe (fig. 3, part 38, col. 3, lines 30 – 45) wherein the detergent dissolution pipe is between the tub and the pump (fig. 3, the first end, part 51, is connected with the inside of the tub and parts 84 and 82 is between the pump and the tub).

'669 teaches that the detergent pipe has a variable diameter creating a detergent dissolution space (fig. 2 and 3, shows that the pipe enters in to space, part 80, which by the figures it shown to have a variable diameter by the narrow piping to into the larger space with a narrow piping leaving the larger space).

'669 does not teach that the outside tub is cylindrical. '688 is a horizontal washing machine with a tub and a spin tub. '688 teaches the use of a cylindrical outer tub (fig. 1 part 11). It would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a cylindrical outer tub as taught by '688, in the outer tub of '669, since '688 demonstrates cylindrical outer tubs are known and used in washers and a change of shape is

generally recognized as being within the ordinary level of skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2nd 669, 149 USPQ 1966.

11. Claim 15: See claim 3 above.
12. Claim 17: See claim 5 above.
13. Claim 18: See claim 6 above.
14. Claim 19: See claim 7 above.

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments filed January 15, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
16. The applicant is arguing that the art does not teach a variable diameter, however the see above argument to see that the new rejection above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. WALDBAUM whose telephone number is (571)270-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TR 6:20-3:50, F 6:30-10:30 est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. A. W./
Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/FRANKIE L. STINSON/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792